

DATE MAILED:

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Tradomark Offico
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

29

SP/216,062 SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO
		EXAMINER
22/	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
ADVISORY ACTION
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
a) is extended to run or continues to run 6 from the date of the final rojection
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
 a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE Applicant is required to provide evidence of deposit, e) there under the Budneset Treaty and/or to Satisfy criteria out from in 37CFR 1801-1809) proposed Claim 49 151m proposely amounded deletions relative to prior version not shair 3) Lack if antecedant basis, "pattent marmal" in this proposed Claim 53, 1122 and P
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
Claims allowed: LON E Claims objected to: AION E Claims rejected: 45-49, 53, 56-62, 66, 69-74, 79, 81, 84-85, 99, \$100-110, However;
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection begans to the characteristic and the characteri
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons with the Considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons with the considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons with the considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons with the considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons with the considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons with the considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons with the considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons with the considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons with the considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons with the considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons with the considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons with the considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons with the considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons with the considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficence applicant has not shown good app
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.
Dother & There is no clean version of the Claims. IF Applicant introded to submit claims inversed format, each amendment southershould
Dibries 7114103 begin on a new page,
OULS COVERNMENT BOINTING OFFICE: 1004-347-784